



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/975,841

10/12/2001

Rory Smith

476-2059

3645

23644 7590 11/19/2009
BARNES & THORNBURG LLP
P.O. BOX 2786
CHICAGO, IL 60690-2786

EXAMINER

NGO, NGUYEN HOANG

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2473

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/19/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Patent-ch@btlaw.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte RORY SMITH, JACQUELINE HENDRON
and IVOR REA

Application No. 09/975,841
Technology Center 2400

Mailed: November 18, 2009

Before DELORES LOWE, *Review Team Paralegal*
LOWE, *Review Team Paralegal*.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on November 9, 2009. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter requiring attention prior to docketing.

APPEAL BRIEF, GROUNDS OF REJECTION

A review of the file finds that the grounds of rejection of the claims as provided in the Appeal Brief filed July 2, 2009 under the heading “Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal” is unclear and/or is not consistent with the grounds of rejection of claims of record. The grounds of rejection of the claims as provided in the Appeal Brief must be consistent with the last Office action of record, including any Advisory action responsive to any after final submissions. Each Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal must be identified. The appellants do not identify Claims 10 and 21 in the Appeal Brief filed July 2, 2009.

A Supplemental Appeal Brief is required for the Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is electronically returned to the Examiner:

- 1) to hold the Appeal Brief filed on July 2, 2009 defective;
- 2) notify Appellants to file a Supplemental Appeal Brief with the correct information for the Grounds of Rejection,

- 3) consider the Supplemental Appeal Brief and if it is in compliance, issue and mail a Form PTOL-90 acknowledging receipt and consideration of the Supplemental Appeal Brief; and
- 4) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

dal

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP
P.O. BOX 2786
CHICAGO IL 60690-2786